

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

The Regular Monthly Meeting of the Buffalo Township Board of Supervisors was held Wednesday, September 12, 2018, in the Buffalo Township Municipal Building and convened at 7:30 pm.

The Meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Ron Zampogna. This Meeting is being recorded. If anyone is taping this Meeting, please state your name and address.

Roll Call

Ron Zampogna II	Present
Gary L. Risch	Present
Albert T. Roenigk	Absent
Matthew J. Sweeny	Present
John Zurisko	Present

A majority of the Board of Supervisors being present, the Chairman declared the Meeting open for the transaction of business.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXECUTIVE SESSIONS; PERSONNEL; AND/OR INFO-GATHERING MEETINGS OF THE BOARD

- a) The Board of Supervisors met with their Solicitor this evening in the Township Municipal Building.
- b) The Board of Supervisors met in the Township Municipal Building to interview applicants for the Road Dept. on Aug. 23
- c) The Board of Supervisors met in the Township Building with Bob Fletcher & Chad Alchier on Aug. 30
- d) Ron Zampogna, John Zurisko, Albert Roenigk & Ken Howard met with members of the Freeport Area School District, SPC, BCPC & Penn DOT regarding the Route 356 Corridor Project Sept. 5
- e) John Zurisko attended the Planning Commission Meeting on Sept. 5

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES of the August 8, 2018, Regular Monthly Meeting of the Buffalo Township Board of Supervisors, as recorded, was on motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko. Motion Carried. Un. Approval.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE TREASURER’S REPORT for August 1-31, 2018, inclusive for audit, was on motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny. Motion Carried. Un. Approval.

MONTHLY BILLS

After reviewing the invoices and bills presented for payment, it was on motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to pay the monthly bills. Motion Carried. Un. Approval.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

REPORTS

(1) THE ELECTED TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT (CARLA GARIA):

- **JULY 2018:** Twp. Real Estate Tax is \$7,586.50, Per Capita @ Face is \$690.00, Per Capita @ Penalty is \$275.00
- **AUGUST 2018:** Twp. Real Estate Tax is \$1,209.37, Per Capita @ Penalty is \$352.00

(2) THE APPOINTED TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT (BERKHEIMER):

- **AUGUST 2018:** Earned Income Tax is \$122,461.57, Local Services Tax is \$21,183.91

(3) THE APPOINTED TAX COLLECTOR'S REPORT (SHARPS):

- **AUGUST 2018:** Twp. share: \$276.80

(4) WEEKLY STAMP SALES (DEED TRANSFER'S):

- **AUGUST 2018:** Did not receive as of 9/12/18

(5) ZONING OFFICER'S REPORT (ROGER KELLY):

- **AUGUST 2018:** 18 Permits Issued, 3 Single Family Residences, 1 Residential Garage, 2 Storage Buildings, 5 Porch/Deck/Roof, 1 Pool, 1 Sign, 1 Carport and 4 Grading Permits. Building Permit Fee: \$3,397.45, Mileage: 242, Grading Permit Fee: \$310.00, Lot Development Fund: \$1,032.13

(6) THE DISTRICT JUSTICE'S REPORT:

- **JULY:** Ordinance & Statute Violations \$113.45, Vehicle Code Violations \$770.34
- **AUGUST 2018:** Did not receive as of 9/12/18

(7) BUFFALO TWP. POLICE REPORT

- **AUGUST 2018:** Total calls 163

BUFFALO TWP. VFC, CHIEF'S REPORT

- **AUGUST 2018:** Calls for month 26, Fire related calls 15, Medicals 11, Company Hours 18 hrs. 32 min., Staff Hours 133 hrs. 50 min., Calls for Year 281, Fire Damage for Month \$0.00, Fire Damage for Year \$300,000.00

(8) SARVER VFC, CHIEF'S REPORT

- **AUGUST 2018:** Calls for month 52, Fire related calls 13, Medicals 39, Company Hours 89.86 hrs., Staff Hours 183 hrs., Calls for Year 421, Fire Damage for Month \$1000.00, Fire Damage for Year \$21,000.00

OLD BUSINESS

PENN ENERGY'S REQUEST FOR PIPELINE EASEMENT ACROSS BUTLER-FREEPORT COMMUNITY TRAIL - TABLED

Atty. Lutz stated the Adam Benford is here from Penn Energy. A couple of things, just so the Board understands this is the proposal they made to put a gas line under the trail in Winfield Township. To be on the trail, they need an Easement from us in order to do so. Mr. Benford was here before and discussed all of this and they made a proposal to us to pay money to bore under the trail. We have gone back and forth with some documents that he has referred to his legal counsel to negotiate the final terms of this. Ken Howard had given me some comments earlier today regarding concerns about boring. If the boring could occur outside the 60 foot Right of Way of the trail and bore underneath so that it does not directly impact the trail surface? Do you think that this is a possibility? Adam stated that he will have to get in contact with their engineer, but what I can say is it will need a larger bore pit.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

It could change the landscape in that area whether on the township property or not. It might be a bigger impact. Atty. Lutz stated that I know you have timing issues but that is one of the concerns. Also, another concern was the number of pipes, there could be two pipe lines and that is more of a Board decision, maybe they can give you some guidance on that. Adam stated that they would be putting two in at the same time and be done. Atty. Lutz stated that I think if the Engineers approve of the location, that puts a different spin on it and that's fine. The other concern is with the amount of the bond which was based on linear feet and I expressed to him that I didn't think we should increase the bond because Penn Energy is paying cash. Adam stated that it is only 60 linear feet. Atty. Lutz stated it would be \$5000.00 and you would basically get that back, unless there would be damage. Ken Howard stated that it should be 6000 per linear foot. It will need changed to reflect the actual amount that was discussed. Adam stated that he will get his Engineer in touch with Ken Howard and maybe trade some designs. We could get together possibly at the end of this month but most likely October 10th.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to table Penn Energy's request for pipeline easement across Butler-Freepport Community Trail. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

DISCUSSION TO HIRE RICK HEALEY AS THE NEW TOWNSHIP ZONING OFFICER - APPROVED

Ron Zampogna stated that this was tabled at the August 8, 2018 meeting.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to remove discussion that was tabled August 8, 2018 to hire Rick Healey from table. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

Ron stated that we have been looking for someone to replace Roger Kelly who will be retiring the end on November. Rick Healey was suggested, and he put his application in along with a couple others that we considered. We are looking at offering the job to Rick. He will be training with Roger until he retires. He will be paid \$15.00 per hour during his training and upon taking the job over, Rick will be paid \$1,210.00 per month plus mileage and the use of one of the township offices to do business out of. We will definitely be sad to see Roger go.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to hire Rick Healey as Buffalo Township Zoning Officer at \$15.00/hour while training and \$1,210.00/month plus mileage and the use of one of the township offices after Roger Kelly is done at the end of November 2018. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO PURCHASE NEW DUMP TRUCK FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT - APPROVED

Matt Sweeny stated that we are looking at getting a smaller truck this time. A Ford F550 size with a plow a spreader and dump box. We have some different pricing from several dealerships. We are going through Costars and we can get a great deal through C. Harper. C. Harper is several thousand dollars less than the other dealers. Brandt Dempster of 580 Sarver Road asked if they would have stainless steel spreaders. Matt stated yes.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to purchase a new dump truck from C. Harper in the amount of \$77,588.00. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

RETROACTIVE MOTION TO REIMBURSE TIM DERRINGER FOR MONUMENT - APPROVED

Ron Zampogna stated that we hope everyone has noticed the monument out front. In remembrance of the 2 officers we lost in the past. We found out at the end of last meeting that Chief Derringer went out of pocket to pay for the monument. It was a great gesture, but we have decided to reimburse him this fee.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to reimburse Tim Derringer in the amount of \$525.00 for the monument he paid for out of pocket. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

NEW BUSINESS

MOTION TO SET DATE & TIME FOR BUFFALO TOWNSHIP'S TRICK OR TREAT NIGHT - APPROVED

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to approve setting the date and time for Trick or Treat on Wednesday, October 31, 2018 from 6:00 – 8:00 pm. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO SET DATE & APPROVE ADVERTISING FOR BUDGET WORKSHOP IN OCTOBER - APPROVED

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to approve advertising for the Budget Workshop on Sunday, October 14, 2018 at 8:00 am. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO PAY REGISTRATION FEES AND MILEAGE FOR OFFICIALS ATTENDING BUTLER COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF TOWNSHIP OFFICIALS SAFETY SEMINAR ON OCTOBER 10, 2018.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to rescind the motion of attending the BCATO Fall Convention. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

On a new motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to approve paying registration fees and mileage for Officials attending BCATO Safety Seminar on October 10, 2018. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO APPROVE 4TH ANNUAL 5K HALLOWEEN HUSTLE ON SATURDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2018 AT 9:00 AM ON THE BUTLER-FREEPORT COMMUNITY TRAIL – APPROVED

Kim Solis was in attendance. Renee Alchier stated that the Audubon Society has this race on the calendar as does the Trail Counsel and that they have nothing else scheduled on the trail for this date. Terry Tague with Parks & Recreation commented.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to approve the 4th Annual 5K Halloween Hustle sponsored by FHS Girls Basketball on Saturday, October 6, 2018 at 9:00 am. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

ANNOUNCEMENT: PENNDOT FEE FOR LOCAL USE NOTIFICATION

Atty. Lutz stated that on August 15, 2018 PennDOT updated its motor vehicle system, and E-Government website. These updates provide for the \$5.00 county fee for Butler County for November registration renewal expiries. As a result of these updates, customers with subject vehicles registered in Butler County will be charged the \$5.00 fee if the customer is renewing a November or later vehicle

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

registration. If you would like more information, contact PennDOT by phone at 717-412-5300 or visit the PennDOT website at www.dmv.pa.gov through the "Contact Us" tab. Rhonda will have the flyer at the front if anyone would like to look at it.

MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #2018-6 CHESTERFIELD ESTATES ROAD ADOPTION BY BUFFALO TOWNSHIP - APPROVED

Ken Howard stated that the Developer has made improvements to the finished wearing course of the asphalt on the streets in the Chesterfield Estates Plan of Lots 1, 2 and 3. Our Firm has inspected that and the Developer has provided to us the As-Built Drawings as requested and the Legal Descriptions as requested and the Maintenance Bond paper work has gone to Atty. Lutz' office. It is our recommendation that it is possible that you could adopt those roads pending on them signing the Deed of Dedication. Atty. Lutz stated that he received the Bond from Mr. Herbert this evening. I did get a copy of it before hand. His Bonding Company has made the changes that we recommended. So, the bond is good to go. Just so the Board and public are aware that there are portions of this plan that will be dedicated to the Home Owners Association, but we are not addressing that, that is for a later date. We have prepared a draft of the Deed of Dedication that Mr. Herbert's Company will be required to sign, and we will get that to him forthwith.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to adopt Resolution #2018-6 for adoption of the roads in Chesterfield Estates by Buffalo Township. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

ADOPTION OF THE CHESTERFIELD ESTATES AS PUBLIC HIGHWAYS OF BUFFALO TOWNSHIP.

WHEREAS, Chesterfield Estates Plan of Lots was approved by the Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the roads meet the standards and specifications of Buffalo Township, Butler County, Pennsylvania;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY Buffalo Township, Butler County, Pennsylvania, that Chesterfield Estates Plan of Lots as hereinafter described are adopted as public roads of the Township of Buffalo.

Chesterfield Drive, Norfolk Drive and Heathfield Drive

Chesterfield Drive, Buffalo Township, Butler County, PA:

All that strip of land, 50 feet in width, situate Buffalo Township, Butler County, being designated as Chesterfield Drive on the Chesterfield Estates Plan No. 1, as recorded in Plan Book 333 Pgs. 19-21 in the Butler County Recorder of Deeds Office, more particularly bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a point on the southerly right of way line of Chesterfield Drive at a corner common to Lot 101; thence along said southerly right of way line the following courses and distances: by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 35.98 feet; North 35°59

'16" West a distance of 4.95 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 415.74

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

feet, an arc distance of 107.16 feet, North 50°45'23" West a distance of 293.02 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 420.14 feet, an arc distance of 349.09 feet; North 03°09'00" West a distance of 261.55 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 597.96 feet, an arc distance of 184.79 feet;

thence with a reverse curve curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 40.35 feet to a point on the easterly right of way line of Heathfield Drive as shown on the aforesaid Chesterfield Estates Plan No.1; thence along said easterly line, by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 473.79 feet, an arc distance of 96.35 feet to a point on the northerly right of way line of Chesterfield Drive; thence along said northerly right of way line the following courses and distances: by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 32.41 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 547.96 feet, an arc distance of 125.58 feet; North 87°53'26" East a distance of 6.82 feet;

South 03°09'00" East a distance of 400.00 feet; South 89°04'48" West a distance of 1.35 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 370.14 feet, an arc distance of 227.35 feet; South 50°45'23" East a distance of 293.02 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 465.74 feet, an arc distance of 116.97 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 25.83 feet; South 43°58'40" East a distance of 5.29 feet to a point on the legal right of way line of Sarver Road (S.R. 228) as shown on the aforesaid Plan 1; thence along said Sarver Road, South 46°28'09" West a distance of 84.87 feet; thence North 43°31'15" West a distance of 5.00 feet to the corner of Lot 101 at the place of BEGINNING.

Chesterfield Drive as herein described having an area of 65,499 square feet (1.504 acres).

Norfolk Drive, Buffalo Township, Butler County, PA:

All that strip of land, 50 feet in width, situate Buffalo Township, Butler County, being designated as Chesterfield Drive on the Chesterfield Estates Plan No.2, as recorded in Plan Book 347 Pgs. 26-28 in the Butler County Recorder of Deeds Office, more particularly bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at a corner of lot 212 at the intersection of the easterly line of Heathfield Drive and the northerly line of Norfolk Drive as shown on the aforesaid Plan 2; thence along said northerly line of Norfolk Drive the following courses and distances: by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 43.05 feet; North 88°52'52" East a distance of 105.72 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 2839.79 feet, an arc distance of 277.46 feet; North 83°16'59" East a distance of 40.48 feet to a point on a cul de sac; thence by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 21.03 feet; thence by the same, by an arc of a circle curving to the right, having a radius of 50.00 feet, an arc distance of 241.19 feet; thence by the same, by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 21.03 feet to a point on the southerly line of Norfolk Drive; thence along said southerly line the following courses and distances: South 83°16'59" West a distance of 40.48 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 2889.79 feet, an arc distance of 282.35 feet, South 88°52'52" West a distance of 123.16 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 34.41 feet to a point on the aforesaid easterly line of Heathfield Drive; thence along said easterly line, by an arc of a

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

circle curving to the left, having a radius of 2316.83 feet, an arc distance of 100.43 feet to a point at the place of BEGINNING.

Norfolk Drive as herein described having an area of 31,559 square feet (0.725 acres).

Heathfield Drive, Buffalo Township, Butler County, PA:

All that strip of land, 50 feet in width, situate Buffalo Township, Butler County, being designated as Heathfield Drive on the Chesterfield Estates Plan No.1, as recorded in Plan Book 333 Pgs. 19-21 and continued on the Chesterfield Estates Plan No.2, as recorded in Plan Book 347 Pgs. 26-28 and the Chesterfield Estates Plan No.3, as recorded in Plan Book 355 Pgs. 39-40 in the Butler County Recorder of Deeds Office, more particularly bounded and described as follows, to wit:

BEGINNING at the northeast corner of Lot 129 on the southerly line of Heathfield Drive as shown on the aforesaid Plan 1; thence along its terminus and lands now or formerly of Wayne Kelly, North 17°04'54" East a distance of 50.00 feet to a point on the northerly line of Heathfield Drive; thence along said northerly line the following courses and distances: by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 423.79 feet, an arc distance of 458.31 feet; North 45°07'08" East a distance of 112.48 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 406.97 feet, an arc distance of 312.89 feet; North 89°10'09" East a distance of 339.10 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 346.08 feet, an arc distance of 691.69 feet, North 25°20'43" West a distance of 431.87 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 247.84 feet, an arc distance of 470.00 feet; South 45°59'58" West a distance of 306.76 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 293.31 feet, an arc distance of 201.22 feet; South 06°41'32" West a distance of 105.52 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 2316.83 feet, an arc distance of 198.78 feet; South 11°36'29" West a distance of 257.12 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 179.63 feet, an arc distance of 133.34 feet; thence with a compound curve curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 45.02 feet to a point at its intersection with itself at the corner of Lot 124; thence through the intersection, by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 406.97 feet, an arc distance of 5.49 feet; thence by the same, South 45°07'08" West a distance of 95.99 feet to a point at its intersection with itself at the corner of Lot 125; thence along the westerly line of Heathfield Drive the following courses and distances: by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 25.00 feet, an arc distance of 35.59 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 229.63 feet, an arc distance of 192.60 feet; North 11°36'29" East a distance of 257.12 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 2266.83 feet, an arc distance of 194.49 feet; North 06°41'32" East a distance of 105.52 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 343.31 feet, an arc distance of 235.52 feet; North 45°59'58" East a distance of 306.76 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 297.84 feet, an arc distance of 564.82 feet; South 25°20'43" East a distance of 431.87 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 396.08 feet, an arc distance of 791.62 feet; South 89°10'09" West a distance of 339.10 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the left having a radius of 356.97 feet, an arc distance of 274.45 feet; South 45°07'08" West a distance of 112.48 feet; by an arc of a circle curving to the right having a radius of 473.79 feet, an arc distance of 512.39 feet to a point at the place of BEGINNING.

Heathfield Drive as herein described as having an area of 210,070 square feet (4.823 acres).

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

PARCEL C

All that tract of land situated in Buffalo Township, Butler county, being designated as Parcel C – “Stormwater Management “on the Chesterfield Plan No. 1, as recorded in Plan Book 333 Pgs. 19-21 in the Butler County Recorder of Deeds Office.

Containing an area of 1.963 acres.

MOTION TO ADOPT PROPOSED CHANGES TO ZONING ORDINANCE #135 INCLUDING REVISED ZONING MAPS - ADOPTED

Ron Zampogna stated that we have been working on making revisions to the Zoning Ordinances. There has been a lot of time and effort put into these changes over the past year. I would like to thank the Planning Commission and Rick Grossman for their time. There has been a lot of input from several people including Mrs. Myers. With regards to the livestock, I would like to say Christina that you have done a lot of homework and have attended a lot of the meetings. With that being said I am not in favor of having it in Residential Areas. I know that is not going to be popular among the group here, but I have to cast my vote as to how I feel the rest of the township may view things as well. Once again you have great points and are welcome to come back up but if you look at the maps, and this is just me, there is 3 other guys here tonight. If you look at the maps in the back, there is a lot of Agricultural Areas out there. Probably 70 plus percent of the Township is Agriculture. My concern is, if I own a residential home, I may not want chickens living next to me. I am not against farming, I am not against chickens. I appreciate where we live, and this is a great community. I just want to put it out there that this is my position, and this is the view I am going to stick with. Atty. Lutz asked if there are any other comments from the Supervisors with regard to any of the other Zoning items or that particular issue that Mr. Zampogna brought up? Ron stated that I think we put more time into the Oil and Gas which we needed to. Atty. Lutz stated that those have been implemented into the proposed Zoning Ordinance that you have in front of you. Atty. Lutz asked if there are any other public comment with regard to the proposed changes to the Zoning Ordinance. Christina Myers, Garden Way, Freeport. It was mentioned that you are taking input from the community but there has still been nothing stated as to who these people are who are providing input that are not coming to these meetings. Is it just people in your own personal circle? Ron Zampogna stated that it is not so much people in my own personal circle. We have heard from several other people here who are supporters, Mr. Jackson, Mrs. Gallagher and I appreciate that. I am not anti-farming, I am not anti-livestock in anyway. I have talked to my neighbors to get their input and I have talked to people that don't care either way. It is a tough decision for the four of us to sit up here and make. I don't know what the decision is going to be, but I want you to know where I stand. Christina stated, can you appreciate the idea that we should err on the sides of personal freedoms over regulations whenever possible. That is something that we as a county have done consistently. It has been the idea that life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, the first lines of the Constitution, unless I am causing a problem to the quality of life of those around me then why is the government trying to regulate how I am trying to live my life? Ron Zampogna stated I don't feel we are trying to regulate it, I think what we are trying to do is...in a hypothetical situation, Mr. Howard lives next to you and Mr. Howard does not care to have chickens living next to him. It's in a Residential District. He moved into a Residential District knowing that the Ordinance states that he does not have to live next to chickens.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

Should we change that Ordinance because it is beneficial for your needs and maybe some other residents in the crowd as well. But, knowing that there is Agricultural Property in the township that can be lived on and have animals and livestock, should we change it for just you and not worry about what Mr. Howard thinks or feels? Christina stated that if you could stand on Mr. Howards property and smell my chickens then I would understand that. If you could stand on my property and hear a rooster crowing all day and night, causing him serious problems then I could understand why we would want to regulate that. But, my quiet chickens, he doesn't have to look at my property. He doesn't have to worry about what is going on at my property. Ron stated that my point is if Mr. Howard bought that house knowing that no chickens were allowed there and now the Ordinance gets changed, what are his repercussions, what can he do because of this change? Christina stated that he should have come to this Public Hearing that was advertised for these Zoning Ordinances and he should voice his opposition. That was the whole point of this hearing wasn't it? So that what was written in there could be discussed today before being enacted. That is exactly what he would do. He would stand up here today and voice his opposition. Ron stated that you are right, no one has come to oppose you but tonight we had three people in favor of it and I believe there are more here. In past meetings I would have to say that there's probably 5 or 6 people including you here to pose the point that they are in favor of it. Christina stated that she has been to every meeting except one when she was on vacation. I have not seen one person stand up opposing this, where are the people that do not want this? Ron stated that I bet there are more people that are not in favor of it than are in favor of it. Why haven't the people who are fore the chickens come to more of these meetings? Christina stated that I feel I am right and if more were opposed then we would have heard from them. We should be voting on the side of being fore meaning that everyone that has spoken has been on this side. I feel it is odd to have the Board saying that they have spoken with people in private or okay, Mr. Risch stated at a previous meeting at one point, very specifically that he would hang out at Giant Eagle and talk with people there and most of them said they did not want chickens. Gary stated I never said that. I have lived in this township for years. Christina asked then why they haven't come to the meetings to state this. Gary said because they don't care. Christina stated by I really care. Gary stated well then that is your problem, that is not mine. Christina stated then where are the ghosts as Mr. Dempster said? Ron stated that you can throw out a comment like that but its easy to say. Where I live, am I supposed to make sure everyone comes to these meetings? Christina answered yes. I was told, if you want to have a say, come to the meeting and the people who wanted to have a say came to the meeting and voiced our opinion and you are ignoring it anyway. Ron stated that we are not ignoring it, we are absolutely not ignoring it. Matt Sweeny asked how many in this township do you think own chickens? Christina stated almost none because it is not allowed but I know a lot of people would like to own chickens. There are a lot of people in this township that have chickens in residential neighborhoods who will not come forward because they are afraid it is going to attract attention the Zoning Officer, so why would they come and speak up? Ron Zampogna stated that is a great point. Christina asked if we could move on to where the number came from? Who came up with the number of 7 chickens per 1 and a half acres of property? Gary Risch asked if Rick Grossman came up with that? Ray Smetana stated that he wasn't at the Supervisors meetings, but you were at mine and were not paying attention because we could have worked this all out then. Everyone that was here and interested in what these Ordinances were going to say, had a voice and I specifically asked the Board of Supervisors to come up and participate, let's get this done, not rehash and rehash. Gary stated that he doesn't know where the number came from. Matt Sweeny agreed. Atty. Lutz stated

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

that he thinks that Rick Grossman came up with this number from another Ordinance. Ron stated to Ray with all due respect, I feel we gave input at the meeting we were at. Matt stated yes, we were there. Ron stated that what you give to us is not etched in stone, not everyone is going to agree on everything. Ray agreed. Christina stated that this means so much to people. She discussed the Zoning Ordinances in the city of Pittsburgh. You are allowed 20 chickens and 2 goats on a 1-acre parcel of land. They support Agriculture, they support traditional use of land. I have an acre of property. Matt Sweeny asked Christina when she moved into her home? Christina stated 2010. You knew when you moved in to that property that R-1 and R-2 were not allowed to have chickens. Matt Sweeny asked so why wouldn't you purchase property in Agriculture, so you could have that? Christina answered yes, I know but there was already a chicken coop on the property. I assume I am not the only person that would move into a place with a giant chicken coop on it and assume chickens can be kept here. Matt answered well, ignorance of the law is no excuse. Christina asked isn't this the whole reason for the workshops, so the community could have input into this? Matt stated we have to decide based on the majority of our township residents. Christina stated, that I understand that, but I feel a majority are in favor unless there are more people speaking up. Matt stated that he disagrees with that. Ron stated that you have a neighbor that is not in favor of this. Christina stated I went to her before I got the chickens and she was fine with this. I ended up with an accidental rooster and she told me no problem, can't even hear it. She would buy eggs off of us for years. I don't know what it is, but some perceived slight caused her to call and this is where she could get me because of the Ordinance she was able to look through our Zoning Ordinance and find something that was really going to hurt me, and it worked. So even if she decided to change her mind I was still under the townships radar and there was nothing that could be done about it. Ron stated that if it were personal or not, even though she was okay with the theory for a few years, for whatever reason who knows. She doesn't want the chickens there now and she lives in the Residential District. Christina answered then she could have been at this meeting today, opposing having chickens in a Residential neighborhood but she didn't. Ron stated that I think you are missing the point. Christina stated that participating residents have a greater voice than non-participating residents. Ron stated that you are not going to get those as you call them non-participating residents. Those people are not going to come out. Matt stated that they are hoping the Ordinance stays the same. Christina stated that is such an easy win for them, that is totally unfair. Matt and Ron both stated you do have a point. Ron stated I am going to use your words, "the way it was written up by Rick Grossman was fantastic". But it was fantastic for you. Christina Myers stated for "freedom". Matt stated that just because it is good for your freedom, it is not good for someone else's and you are not getting that point. How well did that work for you before when you had issues? Atty. Lutz stated yes, you were at a hearing where you were cited for a violation and found guilty. You forced us to go to a hearing and you forced us to enforce the Nuisance Ordinance against you because you had over 30 chickens on your property in Residential District. Christina Myers stated but you did not enforce the Nuisance Ordinance, you enforced the Zoning Ordinance. Atty. Lutz stated yes, that is correct. The existing Zoning Ordinance that we have. We gave you a solution as to how to resolve it which I thought was very, very gracious of this Board. You thumbed your nose at this board and you went ahead and violated it anyway and that is why you were cited. Christina stated let me explain why I did not. I was told at previous meetings that I was permitted to have a couple of chickens on my property. I thought I was in compliance when I had a small number of chickens on my property until Mr. Kelly showed back up at my door. Atty. Lutz stated that then you were interpreting things incorrectly. Christina stated it is in the Minutes, I promise you.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

Atty. Lutz stated we were all here as you were, and it is very plain that we suggested that you get a lease from a person who has Agriculture Zoning behind you. It took a while, but you did it. Unfortunately, you did not keep all the chickens back there. Christina stated I kept all but 4 chickens back there which I thought I was allowed to do. Atty. Lutz stated that this was argued at the hearing and you were found guilty and that goes to Mr. Zampogna's point of the problems that are created and other people are affected. Christina stated but that doesn't really explain the difference between enforcing Nuisance Ordinances versus Zoning Ordinances. It was a Zoning Ordinance that I was taken to court for not a Nuisance Ordinance. I have no record that there was a smell that there was noise going on. I was only cited for having had those 4 chickens on my property when I apparently was not permitted. I know the very first time Mr. Kelly showed up to my house he said you can have 5 not this many. Renee Alchier, 336 Parker Road. I work for the Audubon Society and I have been coming to these meeting for a year and a half now. I feel that you have you have all given her many ways to make this okay and this just continues to go around in circles. If I were her neighbor I wouldn't want that many chickens next to me. So, I am going to say that from the outside that if I want to live in Agricultural, I will live there, and they can have as many chickens as they want. I have 2 acres in a Residential area and I would not want my neighbor to have that many chickens next to me. I feel as a board that you have given her many ways to make it okay and this has been a never-ending circle for the last year and a half. I give you credit, you have fought for this, but they have given you suggestions and ways to make this better. Christina stated that I am in compliance now. I am trying to change it. Renee stated this is just a never-ending issue. So, I am going to say as a community member that I am not for the chickens. I am here, I have been here through all of it and I don't really care if you like me, but this is my opinion and I just stated it. Christina asked as elected members of a local government do you see value in trying to get residents interested and involved in being here because sometimes it feels as if you do not want community participation. Ron Zampogna asked why would you say that? Christina stated because I have felt that you are not interested it what I have to say. Ron stated Mrs. Myers, as I have said previously, I appreciate your homework, your diligence and everything that goes with that, being here at every meeting. We have let you have the podium at every meeting. To us it seems a little bit like ground hog's day. We hear you loud and clear and we or should I say I appreciate it. In the same breath it is my job to look out for the 7,000 plus people in this township. Just because those 7,000 people do not fill the room, doesn't mean that I don't have to consider what they think or may want. Christina stated isn't there a way that you can survey the township and see what they really do want and try to work based on that? Ron stated technology wise I am sure there is a way, I say this humbly, but at the end of the day, it's the Supervisors as a whole to gain the information, to consider the information and cast their single vote to move forward. Christina asked how you gain the information? Ron stated as hard as it is for you to believe I have and do talk to my neighbors and people throughout the community. For me to sit up here and make that up is clear nonsense. Christina stated that you may have a bias is the type of people that you are talking to. Ron stated I am going to speak on something that you stated at a past meeting. That we may be stereotyping our decision on someone who has a \$50,000 house as opposed to someone who has a \$450,000 house. That is an unfair stereotype. It doesn't matter to me if the person living next to me has a \$50,000 home or a \$450,000 home, they still have a right in this township. And the right is in Residential they don't have to live by livestock, period. That's their right. If I wanted to have livestock, then I would have built a house in an Agricultural District or bought a home in Ag and had the livestock there. That's not what I chose to do. Once again, my opinion where I chose to live it was my

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

understanding that I do not have to live next to animals. Christina asked as a follow up to if you want residents interested and involved. Would you condone a Township Official to actively discourage participation? I have been visited at my home and had phone calls stating, “there is nothing about chickens on the Agenda so there is really no reason for you to attend the meeting”. Ron stated if that is coming from Janice or Rhonda, possibly Rhonda I guess, you can say who it is. Christina stated it was Roger Kelly. Ron stated Mr. Kelly probably asked the women what was going to be on the Agenda to see if there was anything on the Agenda regarding chickens. I highly doubt, and I have been on the Board for 7 years that Mr. Kelly would discourage you from coming. Matt Sweeny stated he may have been trying to save you time. Christina stated that she was moved along at a meeting when she started talking about chickens and was told to hold off on that a little longer and as a member of the community, she stated she was very offended. Ron stated we are not trying to offend or discourage you by any means. Christina stated this isn’t just about my chickens, it is about homeowners’ rights, property rights and the idea we should be erring on the side of freedom, always. Unless someone can prove that there is a health risk, nuisance, a real problem with what you are doing. I personally believe that it’s a little bit of a Libertarian leaning perhaps. Ron stated once again, I will agree with your comment on erring on the side of freedom. But isn’t that freedom owed to your neighbor? Christina stated yes, and they should be free of noise and free of odor. If Mr. Kelly had come to my property and said there is a foul smell, or this is really noisy, this is what you need to do, I would have understood. But that never happened. Atty. Lutz stated that you were issued a citation. Christina said I was issued a citation for having chickens on my property, not for the smell. Atty. Lutz stated people came and testified regarding the problems with your chickens. Your neighbor came and testified. You can’t stand up here and make things up. Christina asked if Roger Kelly ever recorded that he smelled odor from my chickens on my property? Atty. Lutz stated, Roger Kelly is the Zoning Enforcement Officer. He went out and ascertained that you were in violation of the Zoning Ordinance after as one of our residents stated that the Board had tirelessly worked with you to find a solution to your chicken problem. Christina stated that I came into compliance. Atty. Lutz stated no, you did not. You did not come into compliance. You were found guilty. Christina stated yes, I was found guilty of having chickens when I was advised by the Board that I could have a couple up to 5 on my property. Atty. Lutz stated that we cannot continue to reargue what happened at the hearing. Christina asked is the reason to switching it to Zoning so that it is easier to prosecute residents? You want to have it in Zoning rather than Nuisance because you can more easily prosecute residents. Atty. Lutz stated that you have asked this question many times. Christina stated, and you have never answered it. Atty. Lutz stated that we have answered it very directly, very early on when I said there were difficulties with enforcement of Nuisance Ordinances, not just with regard to chickens, but with regards barking dogs and with regard to any type of noise. They are difficult of enforcement. I recommended to the Planning Commission sitting here in my opinion as a Solicitor which I was asked by you directly, the best way to go about it and I said it was better to enforce it as a Zoning Ordinance. And I made that very plain and very clear. Christina stated then the idea is to make it easier to prosecute residents. Atty. Lutz stated no, I just explained it to you and if you want to put words in my mouth, then go ahead. Christina asked, and you say barking dogs, but do we have any limitations on the number of dogs? Ron answered that years ago when I first got on the Board people down the road here. Their dog was barking and there is not much we could do about it. Atty. Lutz stated it is very difficult to enforce. Christina stated but, yet you are not trying to regulate the number of dogs. Dogs can’t provide you with food. A dog is far less a useful being. Atty.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

Lutz stated you have had more than enough time to speak and there are other people who would like to take the floor. Christina stated my last comment is, I would ask any of you to at this point, not enact this the way that it stands and to have a debate with people whose job it is to educate the public. If you think that people aren't coming in because they have no idea that these meetings even exist. One legal ad in the newspaper is not bringing people in. You are not actively encouraging participation and I think that until you find out for sure how many people are actually in favor of versus opposed to that you should enacting any additional regulations and we should hold off on enacting. Ron stated that I feel that it is unfair to state that we are not asking for participation. I will revisit it again. My neighbors, we live in a residential area. They don't necessarily want chickens living next to them, but that doesn't mean that they are going to attend one of these meetings. They have voiced their opinion to me, Mr. Sweeny, Mr. Zurisko or Mr. Risch, but that doesn't mean that just because they aren't here that you should get what you prefer to have. That argument doesn't make a lot of sense to me. I have appreciated your point the whole time and your hard work. Christina stated that I fully disagree, and I really truly think you should be taking the weight of people willing to come and speak up on something should hold up. Atty. Lutz stated you have made you point. Thank you. Brant Dempster, 580 Sarver Road. I have an offer, I have a free offer. Mr. Sweeny knows I do a little amateur video work on the side. Matt stated yes, a bunch of pictures, videos and a little bit of history stuff. My offer is, and this would be an unbiased, impartial situation where I could put some of my cameras to work. I see people claiming he said, she said. How about I set up and record the meetings and these videos are put on the website. I can come in as the news media, record the meetings. I don't think it could be denied if I would show up at a meeting. I also know that I have pretty good viewer ship. I can be friend, or I can be a foe and I don't think anyone really wants me to be a foe. Ron asked why would you want to be a foe? Brandt stated I don't want to be a foe. Ron asked then why would you threaten the Board like that? Brandt stated, what I am saying is maybe I want to become the chicken advocate of the neighborhood and do it without going onto people's property and make sure that the law is carried out correctly. Maybe I want to go out and see who has chickens and who is not supposed to. Matt stated I think that was a threat and said go ahead, knock yourself out, I don't have anything to hide. Brandt stated maybe I will contact channel 11 news. Matt stated we can look into the legal aspect of that. Atty. Lutz stated this is done in other communities. Mr. Dempster stated that this is for the benefit of the community and I don't think anyone would like to argue that with me. I can donate my time, I know that you have voice recorders around here and I think this would be good. If you want to consider it, let me know. Danna Burke, 582 Sarver Road. I have a question regarding the Burning Ordinance and what are the changes. Several Board members commented. Matt Sweeny stated that the changes were enacted by the county. I thought I heard that you were doing away with burning. Several Board members answered no. Danna stated just as long as I can still burn. Ron stated there are certain specific days that you can't burn. Atty. Lutz stated you need to get a Burning Permit also. Matt stated you can't burn anything that is recyclable. Gary Risch stated there are certain items that you cannot burn. Gary asked Rhonda what are the days that you aren't permitted to burn? Rhonda answered, Sundays and Holidays unless you are having a recreational fire. Ron stated, Larry back to number 7. Possible motion to adopt proposed changes to the Zoning Ordinance #135 Atty. Lutz stated that we have one controversial one which has been discussed regarding the chickens and the livestock and that is proposed Ordinance #411 which also encompasses some changes in the tables. As the proposal as advertised noted. Atty. Lutz stated we have had a lot of discussion, but nobody has made a motion. Ray Smetana asked would that

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

be a stand-alone ordinance then? If you pull it out all together and repass it at another time? Atty. Lutz stated the way it is it would be an amendment to a Zoning Ordinance. Of course, it could always be brought up again as any amendment could. You would have to go through the process. Which is why we tried to do as many as possible with regard to this right now. Well does someone have a motion? Anne Gallagher commented on the numbering. Atty. Lutz commented.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Gary Risch to approve adoption of proposed Zoning Ordinance #135 including revised Maps with exception of Section 411 and the R-1 and R-2 tables. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to remove Section 411 from the proposed Zoning Ordinance #135. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Gary Risch to authorize the Solicitor to renumber the Ordinances. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO ADOPT PROPOSED SUBDIVISION & LAND DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE #136 - APPROVED

Ken Howard stated that the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance has had some minor modifications made to it. Mainly to add the Pollution Reduction Plan Requirements as part of that Ordinance and we would recommend that approval.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko approve adoption of the proposed Subdivision & Land Development Ordinance #136. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO APPROVE PENN DOT TYPE “M” INLET CONSTRUCTION STANDARD - APPROVED

Ken Howard stated that they are eliminating the concrete frame and making it a caste metal frame. This will structurally hold up much better than the concrete framed Inlets.

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to approve Penn DOT Type “M” Inlet Construction Standard. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO APPROVE BUFFALO TOWNSHIP VETERAN’S DAY 10K, 5K & 2 MILE FUN RUN/WALK ON SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2018 - APPROVED

Terry Tague in attendance. Renee Alchier stated that the Audubon Society has this race on the calendar as does the Trail Counsel and that they have nothing else scheduled on the trail for this date.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko to approve the Veteran’s Day Race to be held on Saturday, November 10, 2018. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO APPOINT ANNE GALLAGHER TO THE PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE - APPROVED

Ron Zampogna read a letter from the Parks & Recreation Committee. The letter written by Terry Tague, President of the PRC Committee stated that they would like to recommend that the Buffalo Township Supervisors appoint Anne Gallagher residing at 227 Cole Road, Sarver, to the Buffalo Township Parks & Recreation Committee. Mrs. Gallagher has submitted her application to the Buffalo Township Office. The PRC vote was unanimous 5 yes and 0 no, 3 no-response.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

On a motion of John Zurisko, seconded by Matt Sweeny to approve appointing Anne Gallagher to the Parks & Recreation Committee. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

MOTION TO HIRE ROAD DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE – APPROVED

Ron Zampogna stated that Larry Smith will be retiring in December 2018 and they need to replace him. They interviewed a few people for the position. Matt Sweeny stated that they would like to hire Steve Magoc.

A motion was made by Ron Zampogna to approve the hiring of Steve Magoc to replace Larry Smith when he retires. A roll call vote was taken. Motion carried on a roll call vote.

Roll call vote:

Ron Zampogna	Yes	Gary Risch	No	Matt Sweeny	Yes
Albert Roenigk	Absent			John Zurisko	Yes

On a roll call vote, 3 yes, 1 no and 1 absent, approval to hire Steve Magoc to the Buffalo Township Road Department to replace Larry Smith when he retires in December.

THANK YOU TO LARRY SMITH FOR THE DONATION OF A TRUCK SPREADER TO THE ROAD DEPT.

DISCUSSION WITH JIM RUMBAUGH OF THE MERITAGE GROUP REGARDING THE VILLAGE OF SARVER’S MILL

Jim Rumbaugh with The Meritage Group was in attendance to discuss the Township taking over a couple streets in The Village of Sarver’s Mill for snow removal only. The streets are Creekside Drive and Clubhouse Drive. They are going to put top coat on Creekside Drive in the next week or so and would like approval from the Township to take over these 2 streets. The residents of The Village of Sarver’s Mill have been paying a company to come in for snow removal since 2004-2005. There are at this time 93 families living in this PRD and 56 Lots on Creekside Drive. Mr. Rumbaugh pointed out the area on his map to show the Supervisors, Solicitor and Engineer. Atty. Lutz stated that when the roads are adopted in a PRD/Subdivision, that gives the buses permission to enter the plan to pick up the students. Looking at the map it doesn’t look like there is a place for the Township Trucks to turn around or a bus to turn around. It was asked how many families that live there have children. Mary Myers of 580 Sarver Road, bus driver for Roenigk’s Bus Garage stated that she is the bus driver for this plan. She picks all of the children up at the entrance to The Village of Sarver’s Mill and there are many children in that plan. Ken Howard stated that he will look at the plan and see if this would be an option. Atty. Lutz stated that the plan isn’t close to being completed. I have concerns with adopting part of it and not the entire plan. Mr. Rumbaugh stated that I have to put the top coat on the road and would prefer not to have construction vehicles on that part of the road and there are no new houses that are being constructed on that part of the road. Atty. Lutz stated that is a chance that you will have to take and of course the Engineers have to approve before adoption. Ken Howard stated that we haven’t inspected that section yet, but your Representative has requested an inspection. It is your decision as a Board to say yes or no to adopting a road. Typically, if you take the road over once its paved then we have the Maintenance Bond a period once you take it over. Ron Zampogna asked Mr. Rumbaugh if he has a handout and he replied yes. Mr. Rumbaugh stated the reason I said it the way I did was that there

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

would be no reason for the snowplows to turn around. Atty. Lutz stated that the way this is configured if your Plowing Company didn't show up one day and we had to send out the Township Road Crew the way this is configured they've got to go on private roads which they are not permitted to do to turn around. Mr. Rumbaugh stated that he would pave the radius anyway where you would turn around in the radius and plow his way back out again. Weather it's paved or not paved would you want us to adopt that. Mr. Rumbaugh stated it will all be paved. Atty. Lutz answered right, but whether it's paved or not paved they are still backing onto a private road. Mr. Rumbaugh replied it will no be a private roadway. What I am saying is if I paved it all the way to the phase line and the township adopt it. Ron stated this is something we can review. Atty. Lutz stated and get Ken's review. Ken Howard stated that there is still the issue with the school bus if you paved that one piece of road. Where would the bus turn around? Mr. Rumbaugh stated if it is a mandatory law, once it becomes a public street that the school bus can go on it, I don't know. Atty. Lutz stated that is a law and I am quite certain that is the case. We had an issue with a plan last year. Mr. Rumbaugh stated that he hopes that we can all work together with this. We gave the HOA \$6800.00 to fix the fence that you asked of us, which we did not have to do and we are hoping that we could work something out.

DISCUSSION REGARDING GENERATOR

Ron Zampogna stated that due to the heavy rains last weekend the Township Building lost power. He stated that we have a generator, which worked for a few hours but then for some reason shut down. Janice Zubrin stated that Woodhurst was here and checked the breaker box. They said that water is getting into the panel which is outside the Zoning Office window and causing the breaker to short out. Woodhurst told Janice that they will send a quote to the Township as to how much this will cost to fix the issue.

ADOPTION OF POLICY PERTAINING TO ORDINANCE #132 VOLUNTEER SERVICE CREDIT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS TO RECEIVE FIRST RESPONDER TAX CREDIT - APPROVED

Matt Sweeny stated that he has been working with the Fire Departments in the area, Chief Matt Cypher and Chief Bill George and we got a list of what the requirements would be to receive this Tax Credit. We are trying to give a little back to the people in the community that are volunteering their time to the fire service. It is a nice way that we can give a little back to them as a thank you. Atty. Brian Farrington with the Law Firm of Lutz & Pawk stated that this is a policy pertaining to Ordinance #132 and the First Responder Tax Credit Policy will need to be adopted. This policy states what the criteria will be in order to receive this tax credit. Active Firefighters shall establish a current Hazmat OPS Refresher; National Incident Management System "NIMS" 100 and 700 Certification; Must respond to at least 5% of all total calls where the department or agency is a responder; Complete 16 hours of yearly training; Complete 16 hours of other documented participation with the department or agency. Non-Firefighter Support Staff shall establish: NIMS 100 and 700 Certification; Complete 48 hours of documented participation with the department or agency. Matt Sweeny stated that the reason for these guide lines is, so someone doesn't just join the firehall to get the tax credit and never show up.

On a motion of Matt Sweeny, seconded by John Zurisko for the adoption of the First Responder Tax Credit Program. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

LAND DEVELOPMENT

There was no Land Development at this meeting.

REMARKS FROM THE FLOOR

Sonya Schneider, 178 Heathfield Drive, Chesterfield Estates stated that she is having issues with her property and was hoping for some advice. I moved in to my home when there was snow all over the ground. So, in the spring I noticed some issues with the back section of my property. The terrain is rough and there are large rocks in the back of the property. It slopes down and is very uneven. She stated that she was invited to attend the meeting by Rhonda because the Township would be adopting the roads in the Chesterfield Estates and that the developer of F & H Development would be attending the Meeting. Sonya stated that she had spoken with a man operating an excavator around the plan several times and he stated that he would come over to her property and do some work fixing up the back of her property. They are now getting the roads adopted and she was told by that man that he would not be available to do the work as discussed in the past as he would be leaving since the roads were being adopted by the Township. She said that she had spoken with the Developer the day before and he told her that he would come out and look at her property. She asked if he was still at the meeting and was told that he had left after the Chesterfield Estates roads were adopted. Atty. Lutz stated no, he left and that since this is not dealing with the roads, that the Township was unable to help with the issue. Atty. Lutz suggested that she be the squeaky wheel in hopes that the developer would take care of the issue.

Jeff Remaley, 190 Heathfield Drive asked if the buses would be coming in to the plan now that the streets have been adopted. Matt Sweeney replied that is between the School District and the Bus Garage which is Roenigk's. Atty. Lutz stated that they have to work on some things before this happens. We have to have a Deed of Dedication done and recorded. It is in the works and I have a draft sitting on my desk. I have to get the Developer's signature on that and get it recorded, so it could be a few weeks before that is done. We have to have all of that in place before they officially do what they have to do.

Curtis Peters, 184 Heathfield Drive, you said that the HOA talks will come at a later date for that? But, like the road surface, is that all the Township now that you adopted it? Atty. Lutz stated after this process that I just described with the gentleman sitting next to you. Mr. Peters asked would that include the drainage; would that be on the HOA? Atty. Lutz asked Ken if he could explain this? Ken Howard stated that the roadways and inlets will be long to the Township but the pond and the piping system outside of the roadways will belong to the Home Owner Associations and you will be getting a Deed.

Sonya Schneider, 178 Heathfield Drive. So, the roads are now in the Townships hands? Matt Sweeney answered they will be one the documentation is all taken care of. Sonya asked, so does that mean that the Developer doesn't have to talk to me anymore? Matt replied, no, that just means they don't have to worry about the roads, but they are still responsible for development and hopefully keeping you happy. Sonya stated safe and that is my biggest concern.

BUFFALO TOWNSHIP SUPERVISORS – REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING – SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

Bob Fletcher, 431 Bear Creek Road with the Buffalo Township Road Department thanked the Supervisors for purchasing another truck and for hiring someone to take Larry Smith's place when he retires.

ADJOURNMENT was on a motion of Gary Risch, seconded by Matt Sweeny at 9:30 pm. Motion carried. Un. Approval.

APPROVED:

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY